

EXHIBIT A



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel Christopher Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 East 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Gorbett, Jamie v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-03252-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Gorbett
Plaintiffs' First Name	Jamie
Case No.	0:17-cv-03252-JNE-FLN
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Parker, Lloyd v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-03573-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Parker
Plaintiffs' First Name	Lloyd
Case No.	0:17-cv-03573
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	7, 14
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 27, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg.kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Hickman, Alan v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-03696-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Hickman_Alan_17-cv-3696_1_Hickman_Alan_17-cv-3696_1"

Hickman_Alan_17-cv-
3696_1_Hickman_Alan
_17-cv-3696_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Hickman
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Alan
CASE NO. - 17-cv-3696
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 02, 03, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Rude, Lynas v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-04009-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Rude
Plaintiffs' First Name	Lynas
Case No.	0:17-cv-04009-JNE-FLN
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	7
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 27, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, LLP
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg.kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Coggins, Mark v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04257-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Title "Coggins_Mark_17-cv-4257_1_Coggins_Mark_17-cv-4257_1"

Coggins_Mark_17-cv-
4257_1_Coggins_Mark
_17-cv-4257_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Coggins
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Mark H.
CASE NO. - 17-cv-4257
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 08, 09
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Ingram, Virginia v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04470-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Ingram
Plaintiffs' First Name	Virginia
Case No.	0:17-cv-04470
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	Bernstein Liebhard



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel Christopher Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Henderson, Stephanie v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04517-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Henderson
Plaintiffs' First Name	Stephanie
Case No.	0:17-cv-04517-JNE-FLN
Section I (Case Information)	Complete
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	01 10
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	01
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	Incomplete
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 3, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Amanda M. Williams
Gustafson Gluek PLLC
120 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600
Minneapolis, MN 55402
awilliams@gustafsongluek.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Hyer, David v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04752-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Title "Hyer_David_17-cv-04752_1_Hyer_David_17-cv-04752_1"

Hyer_David_17-cv-
04752_1_Hyer_David_1
7-cv-04752_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Hyer
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - David
CASE NO. - 17-cv-04752
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Gustafson Gluek PLLC
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - awilliams@gustafsongluek.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Pine, Randy v. 3M Company et al. Case No.: 0:17-cv-04777-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Pine
Plaintiffs' First Name	Randy
Case No.	0:17-cv-04777
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	06, 07, 10
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	Incomplete
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	Incomplete
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 17, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Brown, Ina v. 3M Company et al. Case No.: 0:17-cv-04778-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Brown_Ina _CaseNo017-cv-04778_1_Brown_Ina _CaseNo017-cv-04778_1"

Brown_Ina
_CaseNo017-cv-
04778_1_Brown_Ina
_CaseNo017-cv-
04778_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Brown
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Ina
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-04778
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dburke@bernlieb.com, dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 27, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg.kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Key, Nancy v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04779-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Title "Key_Nancy_17-cv-4779_1_Key_Nancy_17-cv-4779_1"

Key_Nancy_17-cv-
4779_1_Key_Nancy_17-
cv-4779_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Key
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Nancy
CASE NO. - 17-cv-4779
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Potter, Karen v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04881-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Potter
Plaintiffs' First Name	Karen
Case No.	17-cv-04881
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	2
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
McEvoy, Mark v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04885-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	McEvoy
Plaintiffs' First Name	Mark
Case No.	17-cv-04885
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Thornton, Mildred v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04889-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Thornton
Plaintiffs' First Name	Mildred
Case No.	17-cv-04889
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	Bernstein Liebhard LLP



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 17, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Edwards, Renate v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04891-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Edwards _Renate _17-CV-04891_1_Edwards _Renate _17-CV-04891_1"

Edwards _Renate _17-
CV-04891_1_Edwards
_Renate _17-CV-
04891_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Edwards
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Renate
CASE NO. - 17-CV-04891
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/Written STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dburke@bernlieb.com, dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 10, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Behram Parekh
Kirtland and Packard LLP
1638 South Pacific Coast Highway
Redondo Beach, CA 90277
bvp@kirtlandpackard.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Robinson-Bessicks, Alberta v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05123-JNE-
FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Robinson- Bessicks
Plaintiffs' First Name	Alberta
Case No.	not identified
Section I (Case Information)	Incomplete
Section I - Incomplete Questions	2
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	01 02 03 07 09
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	Incomplete
X.01 - Signed Authorization	Incomplete
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	Kirtland Packard



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 17, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Hardy, Alan v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05261-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Hardy_Alan_017-cv-05261-JNE-FLN_1_Hardy_Alan_017-cv-05261-JNE-
FLN_1"

Hardy_Alan_017-cv-
05261-JNE-
FLN_1_Hardy_Alan_01
7-cv-05261-JNE-
FLN_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Hardy
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Alan
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-05261-JNE-FLN
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dburke@bernlieb.com, dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 17, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Johnston, Todd v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05270-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Johnston_Todd_017-cv-05270_1_Johnston_Todd_017-cv-05270_1"

Johnston_Todd_017-
cv-
05270_1_Johnston_To
dd_017-cv-05270_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Johnston
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Todd
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-05270
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS- 7
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS- 1
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dburke@bernlieb.com, dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 17, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Billings, Willard v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05277-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Billings_Willard_17-cv-05277_1_Billings_Willard_17-cv-05277_1"

Billings_Willard_17-
cv-
05277_1_Billings_Willi
ard_17-cv-05277_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Billings
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Willard
CASE NO. - 17-cv-05277
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 02, 05, 07, 08, 09
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dburke@bernlieb.com, dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 16, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg.kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Richey, Priscilla v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05323-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Richey_Priscilla_17-cv-5323_1_Richey_Priscilla_17-cv-5323_1"

Richey_Priscilla_17-
cv-
5323_1_Richey_Priscilla_17-cv-5323_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Richey
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Priscilla
CASE NO. - 17-cv-5323
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 1.01 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Yes
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Taplin, Janice v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05370-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Taplin
Plaintiffs' First Name	Janice
Case No.	0:17-cv-05370
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	03 09 10
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	Bernstein Liebhard LLP



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Bresnock, Anne v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05371-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Bresnock
Plaintiffs' First Name	Anne
Case No.	0:17-cv-05371
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	02, 06 10
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	01
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	Incomplete
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 17, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Rolf T. Fiebiger
Johnson Becker, PLLC
444 Cedar St., Suite 1800
St. Paul, MN 55101
rfiebiger@johnsonbecker.com

Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Jones, Denise v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05472-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Jones
Plaintiffs' First Name	Denise
Case No.	0:17-cv-05472
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	Incomplete
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Johnson Becker, PLLC
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	lgorshe@johnsonbecker.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Swales, Bertha v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:18-cv-00045-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Swales
Plaintiffs' First Name	Bertha
Case No.	18-cv-0045
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	01, 03
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	Incomplete
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com

EXHIBIT B



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 24, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Wesley G. Barr
The Olinde Firm, LLC
400 Poydras Street Suite 1980
New Orleans, LA 70130
wbarr@olindefirm.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Zimmerman, Burl v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-02925-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Zimmerman_Burl_017-cv-02925_1_Zimmerman_Burl_017-cv-02925_1"

Zimmerman_Burl_017
-cv-
02925_1_Zimmerman_
Burl_017-cv-02925_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Zimmerman
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Burl
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-02925
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 1
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Olinde Firm
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - wbarr@olindefirm.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 2, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg@kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Smith, Carla v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-03501-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Smith_Carla_17-cv-3501_1_Smith_Carla_17-cv-3501_1"

Smith_Carla_17-cv-
3501_1_Smith_Carla_1
7-cv-3501_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Smith
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Carla
CASE NO. - 17-cv-3501
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 11, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Annesley H DeGaris
DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
Two North Twentieth Street, Suite 1030
Birmingham, AL 35203
adegaris@degarislaw.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Cyr, Kevin v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-03554-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Cyr_Kevin_017-cv-03554_1_Cyr_Kevin_017-cv-03554_1"

Cyr_Kevin_017-cv-
03554_1_Cyr_Kevin_01
7-cv-03554_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Cyr
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Kevin J.
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-03554
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - adegar@degari.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 2, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg@kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Opperman, Charlene v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-03563-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Opperman_Charlene_17-cv-3563_1_Opperman_Charlene_17-cv-3563_1"

Opperman_Charlene_
17-cv-
3563_1_Opperman_Ch
arlene_17-cv-3563_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Opperman
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Charlene
CASE NO. - 17-cv-3563
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 02, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 27, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg.kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Seymore, Mary v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-03718-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Seymore_Mary_17-cv-3718_1_Seymore_Mary_17-cv-3718_1"

Seymore_Mary_17-cv-
3718_1_Seymore_Mary
_17-cv-3718_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Seymore
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Mary
CASE NO. - 17-cv-3718
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 06, 08, 09, 10
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 25, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

James Morris
Brent Coon & Associates
300 Fannin, Ste 200
Houston, Texas 77002
jmorris@jamlawyers.com

Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Pratt, Debra et al v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-03952-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Pratt_Debra_017-cv-03952_1_Pratt_Debra_017-cv-03952_1"

Pratt_Debra_017-cv-
03952_1_Pratt_Debra_
017-cv-03952_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Pratt
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Debra
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-03952
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION I - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 04
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/Written STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Brent Coon & Associates
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - jmorris@jamlawyers.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 24, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Randall John Trost
Randall J. Trost, P.C.
801 Main Street, 10th Floor
Lynchburg, Virginia 24504
rttrost@trostlaw.com

Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Robertson, Jeffrey v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-04327-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Robertson_Jeffrey_017-cv-04327_1_Robertson_Jeffrey_017-cv-04327_1"

Robertson_Jeffrey_01
7-cv-
04327_1_Robertson_J
effrey_017-cv-04327_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Robertson
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Jeffrey
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-04327
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Randall J. Trost, P.C.
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - rtrost@trostlaw.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 24, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Randall John Trost
Randall J. Trost, P.C.
801 Main Street, 10th Floor
Lynchburg, Virginia 24504
rttrost@trostlaw.com

Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Robertson, Jeffrey v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04328-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Robertson_Jeffrey_017-cv-04328_1_Robertson_Jeffrey_017-cv-04328_1"

Robertson_Jeffrey_01
7-cv-
04328_1_Robertson_J
effrey_017-cv-04328_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Robertson
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Jeffrey
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-04328
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Randall J. Trost, P.C.
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - rltrost@trostlaw.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 18, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown & Crouppen, PC
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Erdman, Elmer v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-04385-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Erdman_Elmer_017-cv-4385_1_Erdman_Elmer_017-cv-4385_1"

Erdman_Elmer_017-
cv-
4385_1_Erdman_Elmer
_017-cv-4385_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Erdman
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Elmer
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-4385
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION I - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 04
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 04, 05
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Brown & Crouppen
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - sethw@getbc.com



April 25, 2018

Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel A. Nigh
Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
316 South Baylen St. Suite 400
Pensacola, Florida 32502
dnigh@levinlaw.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Greene, James v. 3M Company Case No.: 0:17-cv-04433-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Greene_James_017-cv-04433_1_Greene_James_017-cv-04433_1"

Greene_James_017-
cv-
04433_1_Greene_Jame
s_017-cv-04433_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Greene
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - James
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-04433
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION I - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 03, 04, 05
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Levin, Papantonio
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dnigh@levinlaw.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 9, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Keith, Kody v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-04654-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Keith
Plaintiffs' First Name	Kody
Case No.	0:17-cv-4654
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Brown & Crouppen
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	sethw@getbc.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 9, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Resendez, Esperanza v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-04677-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Resendez
Plaintiffs' First Name	Esperanza
Case No.	0:17-cv-4677
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Brown & Crouppen
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	sethw@getbc.com



May 17, 2018

Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Behram Parekh
Kirtland and Packard LLP
1638 South Pacific Coast Highway
Redondo Beach, CA 90277
bvp@kirtlandpackard.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Murray, Dan v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-04845-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Murray
Plaintiffs' First Name	Danny
Case No.	0:17-cv-04845-JNE-FLN
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	03, 10
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Kirtland Packard
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	bvp@kirtlandpackard.com

EXHIBIT C

Sent: Friday, June 15, 2018 4:36 PM

To: 'JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov'; 'Noel_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov'

Cc: Genevieve Zimmerman - Meshbesh & Spence (gzimmerman@meshbesh.com); 'Kyle Farrar'; Gabriel Assaad (gassaad@kennedyhodes.com); Jan Conlin (JMC@ciresiconlin.com); Ben Gordon (bgordon@levinlaw.com); Jerry Blackwell; Lyn Pruitt; Mary Young; Ben Hulse; Monica L. Davies; David Szerlag; Ahmann, Bridget M. (Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com)

Subject: Joint Agenda and Status Report for June 21 Status Conference (MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/FLN))

Dear Judge Ericksen and Judge Noel,

In accordance with Pretrial Order No. 3, the parties submit this Joint Agenda and Status Conference Report in preparation for the status conference scheduled for Thursday, June 21, at 9:30 a.m. Defendants' three lists related to the Plaintiff Fact Sheets, as discussed in Section 2 of the Joint Agenda, are also attached to this email.

Best regards and have a great weekend.

Peter Goss



Peter Goss

Phone: 612.343.3283 | Fax: 612.343.3205

www.blackwellburke.com



431 South 7th Street, Suite 2500 • Minneapolis • MN • 55415

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated June 15, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-05302-JNE-FLN	Kelley, Charles v. 3M Company et al	11/30/2017	2/28/2018	4/10/2018 5/11/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05469-JNE-FLN	Minnigan-Judd, Stephanie v. 3M Company et al	12/18/2017	3/18/2018	4/10/2018 5/11/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:17-cv-04872-JNE-FLN	Grimsley, Daniel v. 3M Company et al.	10/26/2017	1/24/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05581-JNE-FLN	Berzsenyi, Robert v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	3/29/2018	5/11/2018	Law Offices of Charles H Johnson, PA
0:17-cv-05589-JNE-FLN	Bardwell, Doris v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	3/29/2018	5/11/2018	Law Offices of Charles H Johnson, PA
0:18-cv-00078-JNE-FLN	Gottfried, Arthur v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/11/2018	5/11/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00080-JNE-FLN	Harris, Jimmie Don v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/11/2018	5/11/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00093-JNE-FLN	Ashley, Ruth v. 3M Company et al	1/12/2018	4/12/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00151-JNE-FLN	Russell, Janice v. 3M Company et al	1/22/2018	4/22/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00154-JNE-FLN	Coleman, James v. 3M Company et al	1/22/2018	4/22/2018	5/11/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00184-JNE-FLN	Proffit, Connie v. 3M Company et al	1/23/2018	4/23/2018	5/11/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00205-JNE-FLN	Shulz, Shelley v. 3M Company et al	1/25/2018	4/25/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00207-JNE-FLN	Guenther, Gary v 3M Company et al	1/25/2018	4/25/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00220-JNE-FLN	Brasher, Michael v. 3M Company et al	1/25/2018	4/25/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00257-JNE-FLN	Patrick, Marvin v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018	5/11/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00263-JNE-FLN	Robinson, Michael v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018	5/11/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00265-JNE-FLN	Sawyer, William v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018	5/11/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00274-JNE-FLN	Malone, Brenda v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	4/30/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00275-JNE-FLN	Owens, Janis v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	4/30/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00348-JNE-FLN	Smith, Dorothy v. 3M Company et al	2/7/2018	5/8/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00354-JNE-FLN	McDermott, Kevin et al v. 3M Company et al	2/8/2018	5/9/2018		Parker Waichman LLP
0:18-cv-00437-JNE-FLN	McCullough, Angie v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	5/15/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00444-JNE-FLN	Garrison, Steven v. 3M Company et al	2/15/2018	5/16/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00527-JNE-FLN	Morgan, Evelyn v. 3M Company et al	2/23/2018	5/24/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00529-JNE-FLN	Logsdon, Wanda v. 3M Company et al	2/23/2018	5/24/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00606-JNE-FLN	Jones, Karen Marie v. 3M Company et al	3/2/2018	5/31/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00609-JNE-FLN	Larrison, Terry v. 3M Company et al	3/2/2018	5/31/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).
Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated June 15, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00617-JNE-FLN	Hayes, Carol v. 3M Company et al	3/2/2018	5/31/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00641-JNE-FLN	Rhew, Barbara v. 3M Company et al	3/7/2018	6/5/2018		Morris Law Firm
0:18-cv-00681-JNE-FLN	Ferrer, Gladys v. 3M Company et al	3/9/2018	6/7/2018		Morris Law Firm

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated June 15, 2018)

Case Number	Title	1st Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04429-JNE-FLN	Holcomb, April v. 3M Company et al	12/26/2017	2/28/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-04896-JNE-FLN	Pew, Richard v. 3M Company et al	1/24/2018	4/3/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-04984-JNE-FLN	Cheney, Jay v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	4/2/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05199-JNE-FLN	Spry, John et al v. 3M Company et al	2/19/2018	4/5/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05202-JNE-FLN	Reed, Tommy et al v. 3M Company et al	3/19/2018	4/9/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04285-JNE-FLN	Nickell, Vernon et al v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/8/2018	5/11/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-05083-JNE-FLN	Meredith, Benjamin v. 3M Company et al	4/12/2018	5/3/2018	5/11/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-05200-JNE-FLN	Picuri, David v. 3M Company et al	3/22/2018	4/12/2018	5/11/2018	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05323-JNE-FLN	Richey, Priscilla v. 3M Company et al	4/16/2018	5/7/2018	5/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04778-JNE-FLN	Brown, Ina v. 3M Company et al.	4/17/2018	5/8/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04881-JNE-FLN	Potter, Karen v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04885-JNE-FLN	McEvoy, Mark v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04889-JNE-FLN	Thornton, Mildred v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04891-JNE-FLN	Edwards, Renate v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05123-JNE-FLN	Robinson- Bessicks, Alberta v. 3M Company et al	5/10/2018	5/31/2018		Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05261-JNE-FLN	Hardy, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05270-JNE-FLN	Johnston, Todd v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05277-JNE-FLN	Billings, Willard v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05345-JNE-FLN	Lacy, John v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2018	5/29/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated June 15, 2018)

0:17-cv-05370-JNE-FLN	Taplin, Janice v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05371-JNE-FLN	Bresnock, Anne v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05385-JNE-FLN	Jackson, Janice v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05466-JNE-FLN	Adams, Laurel v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05472-JNE-FLN	Jones, Denise v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	6/7/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00045-JNE-FLN	Swales, Bertha v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00064-JNE-FLN	Garvin, Leah v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	6/7/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-02524-JNE-FLN	Koors, Audrey v. 3M Company et al	2/8/2018	03/01/2018	5/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03014-JNE-FLN	Jenkins obo Gwendolyn Jensen v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	1/19/2018	5/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03616-JNE-FLN	Hoerbert, Darlene v. 3M Company et al	2/21/2018	03/14/2018	5/11/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:17-cv-03629-JNE-FLN	Ciccone, Louis v. 3M Company et al	1/17/2018	02/07/2018	5/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03899-JNE-FLN	Pimentel, Carlos v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	03/21/2018	5/11/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-03912-JNE-FLN	Kellett, Jackson v. 3M Company et al	4/16/2018	5/7/2018	5/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04467-JNE-FLN	Adams, Artis v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/23/2018	5/11/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04476-JNE-FLN	Holstine, Rachel v. 3M Company et al	3/29/2018	4/19/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04257-JNE-FLN	Coggins, Mark v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	05/18/2018		Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:17-cv-04470-JNE-FLN	Ingram, Virginia v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	05/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04517-JNE-FLN	Henderson, Stephanie v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	05/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated June 15, 2018)

0:17-cv-04672-JNE-FLN	Bassett, Carey v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2018	4/18/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:17-cv-04752-JNE-FLN	Hyer, David v. 3M Company et al	5/4/2018	05/25/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04777-JNE-FLN	Pine, Randy v. 3M Company et al.	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04779-JNE-FLN	Key, Nancy v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	5/18/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05231-JNE-FLN	Williams, Catherine v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2018	05/29/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03022-JNE-FLN	Hoyos, Teresa v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	3/7/2018	5/11/2018 04/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03464-JNE-FLN	Witt, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	4/26/2018	5/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04169-JNE-FLN	Hurley, Jonathan v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/23/2018	5/11/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-03252-JNE-FLN	Gorbett, Jamie v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/18/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-03573-JNE-FLN	Parker, Lloyd v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/18/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-03696-JNE-FLN	Hickman, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	5/18/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03851-JNE-FLN	Colby, Mary v. 3M Company et al	5/3/2018	5/18/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04009-JNE-FLN	Rude, Lynas v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/18/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04502-JNE-FLN	Parker, Iris v. 3M Company et al	5/4/2018	5/18/2018		Andrews Thornton Higgins Razmara, LLP
0:17-cv-04678-JNE-FLN	Bacon, Robert, et al v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	5/18/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response
(Updated June 15, 2018)

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-02638-JNE-FLN	Reinker, Susan v. 3M Company et al	2/6/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-02901-JNE-FLN	Moore, Michael v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03049-JNE-FLN	Finney, Gwendolyn v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03255-JNE-FLN	Holmes, Peter et al v. 3M Company et al	2/21/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03662-JNE-FLN	Guyton, Sharida v. 3M Company et al	2/21/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-04805-JNE-FLN	Beck, Louis v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-04825-JNE-FLN	Duckworth, Laura v. 3M Company et al	2/20/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-04880-JNE-FLN	Scott, Sheree v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-04892-JNE-FLN	Thyrion, Leslie v. 3M Company et al	3/7/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03305-JNE-FLN	Brainerd, Jeremiah et al v. 3M Company et al	2/26/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-03414-JNE-FLN	Towsley, Joe v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2018	5/11/2011	Sexton & Shelor
0:17-cv-04041-JNE-FLN	Gibson, Lisa Karen v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	5/11/2011	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04334-JNE-FLN	Zeppetella, Steven et al v. 3M Company et al	4/9/2018	5/11/2011	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04402-JNE-FLN	Weiss, Adam v. 3M Company et al	4/9/2018	5/11/2011	Jones Ward PLC
0:16-cv-00787-JNE-FLN	Abrams v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Thering & Associates, PLLC
0:16-cv-03618-JNE-FLN	Messner-Katzer v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017		Capretz & Associates
0:17-cv-02925-JNE-FLN	Zimmerman, Burl v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-03413-JNE-FLN	Williams, Wanda v. 3M Company, et al	4/12/2018		Sexton & Shelor
0:17-cv-03501-JNE-FLN	Smith, Carla v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response
(Updated June 15, 2018)

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03541-JNE-FLN	Chille, Annette v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03554-JNE-FLN	Cyr, Kevin v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-03563-JNE-FLN	Opperman, Charlene v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03718-JNE-FLN	Seymore, Mary v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03952-JNE-FLN	Pratt, Debra et al v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018		Brent Coon & Associates
0:17-cv-03963-JNE-FLN	Jones, Rose v. 3M Company et al	5/21/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04298-JNE-FLN	Colby, Charles Gilbert v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018		Charles Gilbert Colby <i>pro se</i>
0:17-cv-04327-JNE-FLN	Robertson, Jeffrey v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018		Randall J. Trost, P.C.
0:17-cv-04328-JNE-FLN	Robertson, Jeffrey v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018		Randall J. Trost, P.C.
0:17-cv-04331-JNE-FLN	Israel, Marc v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018		Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:17-cv-04385-JNE-FLN	Erdman, Elmer v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2018		Brown & Crouppen, PC
0:17-cv-04389-JNE-FLN	Miller, Amanda v. 3M Company et al	5/10/2018		Jones Ward PLC
0:17-cv-04400-JNE-FLN	Duckworth, Timothy v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2018		Jones Ward PLC
0:17-cv-04433-JNE-FLN	Greene, James v. 3M Company	4/25/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-04518-JNE-FLN	Robertson, Douglas v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018		Meshbesher & Spence, LTD
0:17-cv-04609-JNE-FLN	Holden, Dianna v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018		The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04612-JNE-FLN	Giroir, Shirley et al v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018		The Webster Law Firm
Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response
(Updated June 15, 2018)

0:17-cv-04631-JNE-FLN	Lewis, Donald v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018		The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04639-JNE-FLN	Guess, Carl v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018		The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04654-JNE-FLN	Keith, Kody v. 3M Company et al	5/9/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04677-JNE-FLN	Resendez, Esperanza v. 3M Company et al	5/9/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04845-JNE-FLN	Murray, Dan v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018		Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:17-cv-04859-JNE-FLN	Bradford, Darryl v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

EXHIBIT D

From: Mary Young

Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 5:39 PM

To: [JoanEricksen Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov); [Noel Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:Noel_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov); schultz_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov

Cc: Genevieve Zimmerman - Meshbesh & Spence (gzimmerman@meshbesh.com) <gzimmerman@meshbesh.com>; Kyle Farrar <kyle@fbtrial.com>; Gabriel Assaad (gassaad@kennedyhodes.com) <gassaad@kennedyhodes.com>; Jan Conlin (JMC@ciresiconlin.com) <JMC@ciresiconlin.com>; Ben Gordon (bgordon@levinlaw.com) <bgordon@levinlaw.com>; Jerry Blackwell <blackwell@blackwellburke.com>; Lyn Pruitt <LPruitt@mwlaw.com>; Ben Hulse <BHulse@blackwellburke.com>; David Szerlag <david@pritzkerlaw.com>; Bridget Ahmann <Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com>

Subject: Defendants' Proposed Agenda and Status Report for July 19 Status Conference (MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/FLN))

Your Honors,

In accordance with Pretrial Order No. 3, Defendants submit the attached Proposed Agenda and Status Conference Report in preparation for the status conference scheduled for Thursday, July 19. Defendants provided the proposed agenda to Plaintiffs' counsel before noon today in an attempt to reach agreement on a joint submission. We have not yet received any substantive response, and so are now submitting this on behalf of Defendants given today's deadline. Defendants' three lists related to the Plaintiff Fact Sheets, as discussed in Section 2 of the Joint Agenda, are also attached to this email.

Sincerely,
Mary Young

Mary S. Young

Blackwell Burke P.A.

431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500
Minneapolis, MN 55415
Direct (612) 343-3214
Fax (612) 343-3205

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated July 13, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04872-JNE-FLN	Grimsley, Daniel v. 3M Company et al.	10/26/2017	1/24/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05581-JNE-FLN	Berzsenyi, Robert v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	3/29/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Law Offices of Charles H Johnson, PA
0:17-cv-05589-JNE-FLN	Bardwell, Doris v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	3/29/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Law Offices of Charles H Johnson, PA
0:18-cv-00257-JNE-FLN	Patrick, Marvin v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00263-JNE-FLN	Robinson, Michael v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00437-JNE-FLN	McCullough, Angie v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	5/15/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00444-JNE-FLN	Garrison, Steven v. 3M Company et al	2/15/2018	5/16/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00527-JNE-FLN	Morgan, Evelyn v. 3M Company et al	2/23/2018	5/24/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00529-JNE-FLN	Logsdon, Wanda v. 3M Company et al	2/23/2018	5/24/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00609-JNE-FLN	Larrison, Terry v. 3M Company et al	3/2/2018	5/31/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00617-JNE-FLN	Hayes, Carol v. 3M Company et al	3/2/2018	5/31/2018	6/15/2018	Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00641-JNE-FLN	Rhew, Barbara v. 3M Company et al	3/7/2018	6/5/2018	6/15/2018	Morris Law Firm
0:18-cv-00572-JNE-FLN	Gauthier, Kim v. 3M Company et al	3/1/2018	5/30/2018		Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00705-JNE-FLN	Stoinski, Joyce v. 3M Company et al	3/14/2018	6/12/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00708-JNE-FLN	Fairfax, Betty v. 3M Company et al	3/14/2018	6/12/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00724-JNE-FLN	Burr, Cody v. 3M Company et al	3/15/2018	6/13/2018		Morris Law Firm
0:18-cv-00741-JNE-FLN	Crumiel, Phyllip et al v. 3M Company et al	3/19/2018	6/17/2018		Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00757-JNE-FLN	Homsher, Larry v. 3M Company et al	3/20/2018	6/18/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00758-JNE-FLN	Butler, Frances Eloise v. 3M Company et al	3/20/2018	6/18/2018		Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00802-JNE-FLN	Brown, Charles v. 3M Company et al	3/23/2018	6/21/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00805-JNE-FLN	Archie, Yvonne et al v. 3M Company et al	3/23/2018	6/21/2018		The Webster Law Firm
0:18-cv-00839-JNE-FLN	Pavia, Johnny et al v. 3M Company et al	3/26/2018	6/24/2018		Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00840-JNE-FLN	Stidham, Robert et al v. 3M Company et al	3/26/2018	6/24/2018		Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00842-JNE-FLN	Wiggins, Francis v. 3M Company et al	3/26/2018	6/24/2018		Fears Nachawati, PLLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).
Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated July 13, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00855-JNE-FLN	Short, Vincent v. 3M Company et al	3/27/2018	6/25/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00856-JNE-FLN	Negron, Angel v. 3M Company et al	3/27/2018	6/25/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00883-JNE-FLN	McAlister, Mary v. 3M Company et al	3/29/2018	6/27/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00900-JNE-FLN	Ingram, Charles v. 3M Company et al	3/30/2018	6/28/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00904-JNE-FLN	Bauer, Kimberly v. 3M Company et al	3/30/2018	6/28/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00916-JNE-FLN	Foltz, Donald v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	7/1/2018		Hare, Wynn, Newell & Newton, LLP
0:18-cv-00929-JNE-FLN	Tillia, Jeffrey v. 3M Company et al	4/3/2018	7/2/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00930-JNE-FLN	Schram, Stoney v. 3M Company et al	4/3/2018	7/2/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:18-cv-00940-JNE-FLN	Russell, Robin et al v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	7/4/2018		Meshbesher & Spence
0:18-cv-00944-JNE-FLN	Kotowski, Joseph et al v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	7/4/2018		Meshbesher & Spence
0:18-cv-00950-JNE-FLN	Nix, Joseph v. 3M Company et al	4/6/2018	7/5/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00955-JNE-FLN	Gordon, James v. 3M Company et al	4/6/2018	7/5/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00957-JNE-FLN	Muhammad, Hadiyah M. v. 3M Company et al	4/6/2018	7/5/2018		Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated July 13, 2018)

Case Number	Title	1st Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04285-JNE-FLN	Nickell, Vernon et al v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	2/1/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-05323-JNE-FLN	Richey, Priscilla v. 3M Company et al	4/16/2018	5/7/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04778-JNE-FLN	Brown, Ina v. 3M Company et al.	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04881-JNE-FLN	Potter, Karen v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04885-JNE-FLN	McEvoy, Mark v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04889-JNE-FLN	Thornton, Mildred v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04891-JNE-FLN	Edwards, Renate v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05123-JNE-FLN	Robinson- Bessicks, Alberta v. 3M Company et al	5/10/2018	5/31/2018	6/15/2018	Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05261-JNE-FLN	Hardy, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05270-JNE-FLN	Johnston, Todd v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05277-JNE-FLN	Billings, Willard v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05345-JNE-FLN	Lacy, John v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2018	5/29/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05370-JNE-FLN	Taplin, Janice v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05371-JNE-FLN	Bresnock, Anne v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05385-JNE-FLN	Jackson, Janice v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05466-JNE-FLN	Adams, Laurel v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05472-JNE-FLN	Jones, Denise v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	6/7/2018	6/15/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00045-JNE-FLN	Swales, Bertha v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).
Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated July 13, 2018)

0:18-cv-00064-JNE-FLN	Garvin, Leah v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	6/7/2018	6/15/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:17-cv-05477-JNE-FLN	Shepard, Andrew v. 3M Company et al	5/24/2018	6/14/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:17-cv-05550-JNE-FLN	Bishop, Alma v. 3M Company et al	6/8/2018	6/29/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00063-JNE-FLN	Morgan, Zachary v. 3M Company et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00067-JNE-FLN	Carlson, Glenn v. 3M Company et al	5/24/2018	6/14/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00139-JNE-FLN	Stedman, Robert v. 3M Company et al	5/24/2018	6/14/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00166-JNE-FLN	Davis, Thomas v. 3M Company et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00249-JNE-FLN	Glasscock, Elizabeth v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018	7/2/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00451-JNE-FLN	Gregg, Cynthia v. 3M Company et al	6/14/2018	7/5/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:18-cv-00460-JNE-FLN	Kilmer, David v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018	7/2/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:18-cv-00558-JNE-FLN	Kopytek, Joseph v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018	7/2/2018		Brown & Crouppen, PC
0:18-cv-01041-JNE-FLN	Jiru, Peter v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018	7/2/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03014-JNE-FLN	Jenkins obo Gwendolyn Jensen v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	1/19/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03616-JNE-FLN	Hoerbert, Darlene v. 3M Company et al	2/21/2018	03/14/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:17-cv-03899-JNE-FLN	Pimentel, Carlos v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	03/21/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-04467-JNE-FLN	Adams, Artis v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/23/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04257-JNE-FLN	Coggins, Mark v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	05/18/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:17-cv-04470-JNE-FLN	Ingram, Virginia v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	05/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated July 13, 2018)

0:17-cv-04517-JNE-FLN	Henderson, Stephanie v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	05/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04752-JNE-FLN	Hyer, David v. 3M Company et al	5/4/2018	05/25/2018	6/15/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04777-JNE-FLN	Pine, Randy v. 3M Company et al.	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04779-JNE-FLN	Key, Nancy v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04302-JNE-FLN	Tilley, William v. 3M Company et al	6/6/2018	6/27/2017		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-04375-JNE-FLN	Baker, David v. 3M Company et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04512-JNE-FLN	Cunningham, Mary v. 3M Company et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04857-JNE-FLN	Murphy, Bennie v 3M et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04877-JNE-FLN	McDonald, Ethel v 3M et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05358-JNE-FLN	Breedlove, Danny et al v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018	7/2/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00032-JNE-FLN	DeArman, Lori v. 3M Company et al	6/6/2018	6/27/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:18-cv-00167-JNE-FLN	Shoaf et al v. 3M Company et al	6/4/2018	6/25/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC
Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03022-JNE-FLN	Hoyos, Teresa v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	3/7/2018	4/10/2018 5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03464-JNE-FLN	Witt, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	4/26/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04169-JNE-FLN	Hurley, Jonathan v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/23/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-03252-JNE-FLN	Gorbett, Jamie v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-03573-JNE-FLN	Parker, Lloyd v. 3M Company et al	5/4/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018	Andrews Thornton Higgins Razmara, LLP
0:17-cv-03696-JNE-FLN	Hickman, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03851-JNE-FLN	Colby, Mary v. 3M Company et al	5/3/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).
Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated July 13, 2018)

0:17-cv-04009-JNE-FLN	Rude, Lynas v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04695-JNE-FLN	Heatherley, Charlotte v. 3M Company et al	6/6/2018	6/27/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:17-cv-04703-JNE-FLN	Betts, Marilyn v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018	7/2/2018		Peterson & Associates, P.C.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated July 13, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03305-JNE-FLN	Brainerd, Jeremiah et al v. 3M Company et al	2/26/2018	4/10/2018 5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04041-JNE-FLN	Gibson, Lisa Karen v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-02925-JNE-FLN	Zimmerman, Burl v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018	6/15/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-03413-JNE-FLN	Williams, Wanda v. 3M Company, et al	4/12/2018	6/15/2018	Sexton & Shelor
0:17-cv-03501-JNE-FLN	Smith, Carla v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03541-JNE-FLN	Chille, Annette v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03554-JNE-FLN	Cyr, Kevin v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2018	6/15/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-03563-JNE-FLN	Opperman, Charlene v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03718-JNE-FLN	Seymore, Mary v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03952-JNE-FLN	Pratt, Debra et al v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018	6/15/2018	Brent Coon & Associates
0:17-cv-04327-JNE-FLN	Robertson, Jeffrey v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018	6/15/2018	Randall J. Trost, P.C.
0:17-cv-04328-JNE-FLN	Robertson, Jeffrey v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018	6/15/2018	Randall J. Trost, P.C.
0:17-cv-04385-JNE-FLN	Erdman, Elmer v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2018	6/15/2018	Brown & Crouppen, PC
0:17-cv-04389-JNE-FLN	Miller, Amanda v. 3M Company et al	5/10/2018	6/15/2018	Jones Ward PLC
0:17-cv-04400-JNE-FLN	Duckworth, Timothy v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2018	6/15/2018	Jones Ward PLC
0:17-cv-04433-JNE-FLN	Greene, James v. 3M Company	4/25/2018	6/15/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-04518-JNE-FLN	Robertson, Douglas v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018	6/15/2018	Meshbeshner & Spence, LTD

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated July 13, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04609-JNE-FLN	Holden, Dianna v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018	6/15/2018	The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04612-JNE-FLN	Giroir, Shirley et al v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018	6/15/2018	The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04631-JNE-FLN	Lewis, Donald v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018	6/15/2018	The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04639-JNE-FLN	Guess, Carl v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	6/15/2018	The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04654-JNE-FLN	Keith, Kody v. 3M Company et al	5/9/2018	6/15/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04677-JNE-FLN	Resendez, Esperanza v. 3M Company et al	5/9/2018	6/15/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04845-JNE-FLN	Murray, Dan v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	6/15/2018	Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:16-cv-00787-JNE-FLN	Abrams v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Thering & Associates, PLLC
0:17-cv-03824-JNE-FLN	Henry, Lawrence v. 3M Company et al	5/30/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04333-JNE-FLN	Carney, Robert et al v. 3M Company et al	5/29/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04708-JNE-FLN	Rhone, Tareya v. 3M Company et al	6/4/2018		Hare, Wynn, Newell & Newton
0:17-cv-04729-JNE-FLN	Benford, Walter v. 3M Company et al	5/21/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-04800-JNE-FLN	Waters, Christopher v. 3M Company et al	5/29/2018		Peterson & Associates, P.C.
0:17-cv-04859-JNE-FLN	Bradford, Darryl v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-04917-JNE-FLN	Brandon, Katherine v. 3M Company et al	5/24/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-04951-JNE-FLN	Wennerstrum, Mary v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018 06/14/2018		Peterson & Associates, P.C.
0:17-cv-05052-JNE-FLN	Hanks, Julie v. 3M Company et al	6/6/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-05066-JNE-FLN	Isabell, Blonita v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated July 13, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-05074-JNE-FLN	Riley, Diane v. 3M Company et al	6/13/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-05075-JNE-FLN	Ventura, Nicholas v. 3M Company et al	6/13/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-05087-JNE-FLN	Morgan, Travis v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-05109-JNE-FLN	Terry, Lola v. 3M Company et al	6/13/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-05387-JNE-FLN	Wakefield, Lori v. 3M Company et al	6/13/2018		Meshbesher & Spence, LTD.
0:17-cv-05427-JNE-FLN	Payne, Margaret v. 3M Company et al	5/31/2018		Hare, Wynn, Newell & Newton
0:18-cv-00022-JNE-FLN	Klante, Randall v. 3M Company et al	6/8/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:18-cv-00240-JNE-FLN	Dixon, Michael v. 3M Company	6/4/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00678-JNE-FLN	Tawes, George v. 3M Company	6/6/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00424-JNE-FLN	Harris, Lola v. 3M Company et al	6/13/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.